# **PUBLIC GRIEVANCES COMMISSION**

(Govt. of National Capital Territory of Delhi)

### **APPELLATE AUTHORITY**

[Under Section 7, Delhi Right to Information Act, 2001]

**Date of Hearing:** 16/01/2019 **Date of Decision:** 16/01/2019

**Applicant:** Sh. Ravinder Soni

**Respondent:** Dy. Commissioner (City SP Zone)

North DMC, New Delhi

**Appeal No** : 168/2018

**Appeal filed on :** 29/05/2018

**DRTI Application filed on :** 25/04/2018

Competent Authority replied on: No response

### 1. Background

Sh. Ravinder Soni, the appellant vide DRTI application dated 25/04/2018 has sought information from the Deputy Commissioner, City SP Zone, North DMC / Competent Authority notified under the DRTI Act-2001, on total 20 counts.

The appellant did not receive any information from the Competent Authority i.e. Deputy Commissioner (City SP Zone), North DMC. Aggrieved by the same, he filed an appeal before the Appellate Authority, Public Grievances Commission under Section 7 of DRTI Act -2001.

## 2. Proceedings

The appellant is not present.

Shri Mohd. Shakil, AE (B), City SP Zone, North DMC, is present on behalf of the Competent Authority. He informed that point-wise information has already been furnished to the appellant vide letter dated 15/01/2019. He submitted a copy of the same in the hearing today.

The reply has been perused and it is observed that the information furnished in respect of query no. 2 is not satisfactory. The appellant has sought information about the action taken by the department in response to his complaints dated 05/04/2018, 07/04/2018, 09/04/2018 and 10/04/2018 against property no. 9354/8, Multani Dhanda, Paharganj, New Delhi. But the reply provided is vague and unacceptable. The complaints must be traced and specific reply must be provided clearly mentioning the action taken on the complaints of the appellant.

The Deputy Commissioner (City SP Zone), North DMC, is further advised to get the property inspected and if any unauthorized

construction is noticed, then suitable action under the DMC Act, 1957 may be initiated against the property.

#### 3. Decision

The Competent Authority i.e. Deputy Commissioner (City SP Zone), North DMC, shall furnish a revised reply, in respect of query no. 2, as advised above. The revised reply shall be furnished within 15 days from the date of receipt of this order, directly to the appellant, with a copy marked to the Public Grievances Commission.

The reply should be furnished to the appellant under the signatures of Competent Authority, properly stamped, having the name of Competent Authority. Documents, if any, to be appended with the reply should be certified either by the Competent Authority or an official deputed by the Competent Authority.

With the above direction, the appeal case is ordered to be closed before the Appellate Authority / PGC.

(ASHOK KUMAR)

CHAIRMAN, PUBLIC GRIEVANCES COMMISSION

Appeal No. 168/2018/PGC/DRI/MCD/ Date:

#### Copy to:

- Shri Arava Gopi Krishana Deputy Commissioner, City SP Zone, North DMC, (Additional Charge) 1<sup>st</sup> Floor, Old Hindu College Building, Nigam Bhawan, Kashmere Gate, Delhi – 110 006.
- 2. Shri Mohd. Shakil, AE (B), City SP Zone, North DMC, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor, Old Hindu College Building, Nigam Bhawan, Kashmere Gate, Delhi 110 006.
- 3. Shri Ravinder Soni